

Hon. Melvin Stoof

2019 Practitioner Survey Responses

AVERAGE SCORE ACROSS SURVEY: 97.17% POSITIVE REVIEWS.

Key: SU = Superior VG = Very Good SA = Satisfactory PO = Poor UA = Unacceptable

Section I Summary: Legal Ability

96% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a positive rating.

4% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a negative rating.

Section I: Legal Ability	SU		VG		SA		PO		UA		Total
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	
1. Legal reasoning ability.	7	37%	7	37%	3	16%	1	5%	1	5%	19
2. Knowledge of substantive law.	9	50%	5	28%	3	17%	1	6%	0	0%	18
3. Knowledge of rules and evidence.	8	44%	7	39%	3	17%	0	0%	0	0%	18
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure.	9	47%	7	37%	3	16%	0	0%	0	0%	19
Category Total	33	45%	26	35%	12	16%	2	3%	1	1%	74

Section II Summary: Integrity

98% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a positive rating.

2% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a negative rating.

Section II: Integrity	SU		VG		SA		PO		UA		
5. Basic fairness and impartiality.	4	21%	9	47%	4	21%	1	5%	1	5%	19
6. Equal treatment regardless of race.	7	39%	9	50%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	18
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender.	5	28%	11	61%	1	6%	1	6%	0	0%	18
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion.	7	41%	9	53%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	17
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin.	8	47%	8	47%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	17
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability.	7	41%	8	47%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	17
11. Equal treatment regardless of age.	6	38%	8	50%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	16
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation.	7	44%	7	44%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	16
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status.	6	38%	7	44%	3	19%	0	0%	0	0%	16
Category Total	57	37%	76	49%	18	12%	2	1%	1	1%	154

Section III Summary: Communication Skills

96% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a positive rating.

4% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a negative rating.

Section III: Communication Skills	SU	VG	SA	PO	UA	
14. Clear and logical oral communication and directions.	9 47%	6 32%	4 21%	0 0%	0 0%	19
15. Clear and logical written decisions.	9 47%	7 37%	2 11%	0 0%	1 5%	19
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard.	7 37%	7 37%	4 21%	1 5%	0 0%	19
Category Total	25 44%	20 35%	10 18%	1 2%	1 2%	57

Section IV Summary: Judicial Temperament

94% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a positive rating.

6% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a negative rating.

Section IV: Judicial Temperament

17. Understanding and compassion.	4 22%	9 50%	3 17%	2 11%	0 0%	18
18. Dignified.	10 50%	6 30%	3 15%	1 5%	0 0%	20

19. Courteous.	9	47%	6	32%	3	16%	0	0%	1	5%	19
20. Conduct that promotes confidence in the court and judge's ability.	9	45%	7	35%	3	15%	0	0%	1	5%	20
21. Patient.	5	26%	10	53%	3	16%	0	0%	1	5%	19
Category Total	37	39%	38	40%	15	16%	3	3%	3	3%	96

Section V Summary: Administrative Performance

99% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a positive rating.

1% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a negative rating.

Section V: Admin Performance

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UA		
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings.	10	53%	6	32%	3	16%	0	0%	0	0%	19
23. Maintained proper control of courtroom.	11	58%	4	21%	4	21%	0	0%	0	0%	19
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions.	11	58%	5	26%	2	11%	1	5%	0	0%	19
25. Was prepared for the proceedings.	11	55%	6	30%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	20
26. Efficient management of calendar.	9	50%	7	39%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	18
Category Total	52	55%	28	29%	14	15%	1	1%	0	0%	95

Section VI Summary: Settlement Activities

100% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a positive rating.

0% of respondents gave Judge Stoof a negative rating.

Section VI: Settlement Activities

	SU	VG	SA	PO	UA	
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement.	4 50%	4 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	
Category Total	4 50%	4 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	8