

Hon. Margaret Flores

2019 Practitioner Survey Responses

AVERAGE SCORE ACROSS SURVEY: 95.17% POSITIVE REVIEWS

Key: SU = Superior VG = Very Good SA = Satisfactory PO = Poor UA = Unacceptable

Section I Summary: Legal Ability

89% of respondents gave Judge Flores a positive rating.

11% of respondents gave Judge Flores a negative rating.

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UA		Total
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	
Section I: Legal Ability											
1. Legal reasoning ability.	1	6%	7	41%	7	41%	2	12%	0	0%	17
2. Knowledge of substantive law.	1	6%	6	38%	7	44%	2	13%	0	0%	16
3. Knowledge of rules and evidence.	1	6%	8	50%	5	31%	2	13%	0	0%	16
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure.	1	6%	9	53%	6	35%	1	6%	0	0%	17
Category Total	4	6%	30	45%	25	38%	7	11%	0	0%	66

Section II Summary: Integrity

99% of respondents gave Judge Flores a positive rating.

1% of respondents gave Judge Flores a negative rating.

Section II: Integrity

	SU	VG	SA	PO	UA	
5. Basic fairness and impartiality.	7 41%	9 53%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	17
6. Equal treatment regardless of race.	8 47%	9 53%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	17
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender.	8 47%	9 53%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	17
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion.	7 47%	8 53%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	15
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin.	7 47%	8 53%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	15
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability.	7 47%	8 53%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	15
11. Equal treatment regardless of age.	7 47%	8 53%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	15
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation.	7 47%	8 53%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	15
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status.	6 40%	8 53%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	15
Category Total	64 45%	75 53%	2 1%	0 0%	0 0%	141

Section III Summary: Communication Skills

94% of respondents gave Judge Flores a positive rating.

6% of respondents gave Judge Flores a negative rating.

Section III: Communication Skills

	SU	VG	SA	PO	UA	
14. Clear and logical oral communication and directions.	0 0%	8 47%	7 41%	2 12%	0 0%	17
15. Clear and logical written decisions.	1 6%	7 41%	8 47%	1 6%	0 0%	17
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard.	9 56%	5 31%	2 13%	0 0%	0 0%	16
Category Total	10 20%	20 40%	17 34%	3 6%	0 0%	50

Section IV Summary: Judicial Temperament

100% of respondents gave Judge Flores a positive rating.

0% of respondents gave Judge Flores a negative rating.

Section IV: Judicial Temperament

17. Understanding and compassion.	12 71%	4 24%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	17
18. Dignified.	10 59%	6 35%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	17

19. Courteous.	11	65%	5	29%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	17
20. Conduct that promotes confidence in the court and judge's ability.	9	53%	4	24%	4	24%	0	0%	0	0%	17
21. Patient.	13	76%	3	18%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	17
Category Total	55	65%	22	26%	8	9%	0	0%	0	0%	85

Section V Summary: Administrative Performance

89% of respondents gave Judge Flores a positive rating.

11% of respondents gave Judge Flores a negative rating.

Section V: Admin Performance	SU		VG		SA		PO		UA		
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings.	2	12%	9	53%	6	35%	0	0%	0	0%	17
23. Maintained proper control of courtroom.	2	12%	5	29%	8	47%	2	12%	0	0%	17
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions.	0	0%	2	12%	8	47%	7	41%	0	0%	17
25. Was prepared for the proceedings.	2	12%	10	59%	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	17
26. Efficient management of calendar.	2	13%	10	63%	4	25%	0	0%	0	0%	16
Category Total	8	10%	36	43%	31	37%	9	11%	0	0%	84

Section VI Summary: Settlement Activities

100% of respondents gave Judge Flores a positive rating.

0% of respondents gave Judge Flores a negative rating.

Section VI: Settlement Activities

27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement.

	SU	VG	SA	PO	UA	
	2 20%	4 40%	4 40%	0 0%	0 0%	
Category Total	2 20%	4 40%	4 40%	0 0%	0 0%	10